

Abstract for review

European Research Network On Philanthropy
8th International Conference Copenhagen, July 13-14, 2017

Click [here](#) for the abstract evaluation form.

Measuring philanthropic freedom across countries

Reference:	48426967
Number of authors:	3
Keywords:	philanthropic freedom, global index, methodology, institutions, composite indicators
Topic:	Cross-country studies of philanthropy
Theme of abstract:	
Research method:	Mixed methods
Geographical focus:	Multiple countries (Other countries)
Type of article:	Research article

Abstract:

Introduction

Philanthropy persists across diverse global cultures, religions, and geography. However, much less is known about how the enabling environment influences the philanthropic landscape. In this paper, we investigate a newly developed index, the Index of Philanthropic Freedom. First, we examine the theoretical and contextual framework for studying cross-national differences in government and economic policies, legal and institutional factors, as well as religious and cultural influences that shape the philanthropic landscape. Second, we examine the relationship between the Index and other established measures of economic and political freedom. Finally, the paper explores in a comparative way, the extent of barriers and incentives for cross-border philanthropy. By studying the enabling environment for philanthropy, the paper contributes to knowledge of barriers and incentives to philanthropy across national contexts.

The study of the Index of Philanthropic Freedom was first conducted as a pilot by the Hudson Institute's Center for Global Development in 2013. In 2015, the first complete study was published and included 64 countries from various countries. The IPF assessed each country's philanthropic environment on a 1 to 5 a scale grouping seven indicators into three factors: CSO Regulation, Domestic Tax Policy, and Cross Border Flows. An overall score per country emerged by calculating the average sum of these three scores. The overall score was developed to obtain

an international ranking system. Countries with the higher overall score ranked at the top of the scale.

The IPF relies on country experts who respond to a comprehensive questionnaire where they score each indicator and provide a narrative to justify each score. The methodology created by the CPG included one expert per country. Country expert scores and narratives are reviewed by CGP researchers, secondary reviewers, and the advisory board.

Philanthropic Freedom as a Construct

To determine if the IPF measures a construct that can be set apart from similar constructs, we examined the extent to which the 2015 IPF index is related with other nine global index scores from the same year assessing civil society freedoms: Worldwide Governance Indicators Project, CIVICUS Enabling Environment Index, Freedom in the World Index, Human Freedom Index, Bertelsmann Stiftung Transformation Index, Index of Economic Freedom, Rules to Give By, and Economic Freedom of the World

Most important references:

- Anand, P. U., & Hayling, C. (2014). Levers for Change--Philanthropy in Select South East Asian Countries. Social Insight Research Series. Lien Centre for Social Innovation Reports. Retrieved from http://ink.library.smu.edu.sg/lien_reports/6
- Appe, S. M., & Layton, M. D. (2015). Government and the Nonprofit Sector in Latin America. In Nonprofit Policy Forum.
- Blasco, M., & Zølner, M. (2010). Corporate Social Responsibility in Mexico and France Exploring the Role of Normative Institutions. *Business & Society*, 49(2), 216-251.
- Brown, E., & Ferris, J. M. (2007). Social capital and philanthropy: An analysis of the impact of social capital on individual giving and volunteering. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 36(1), 85-99.
- Cariño, L. V. (1999). Beyond the crossroads: Policy issues for the Philippine nonprofit sector. *Voluntas: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 10(1), 83-91.
- Chao, Y. E., & Onyx, J. (2015). Development Paths, Problems and Countermeasures of Ch