

Abstract for review

European Research Network On Philanthropy
8th International Conference Copenhagen, July 13-14, 2017

Click [here](#) for the abstract evaluation form.

Philanthropy: the need for public accountability

Contemporary Australian Philanthropists speak

Reference:	47751344
Number of authors:	1
Author/submitted by:	Elizabeth Cham (Dr)
Organisation:	University Technology Sydney, Business, Australia
Authors:	Elizabeth Cham - - -
Keywords:	Philanthropy, private foundations; philanthropists, accountability,
Topic:	Private and public foundations
Theme of abstract:	
Research method:	Mixed methods
Geographical focus:	Other
Type of article:	Research article

Abstract:

On the eve of the new century, the Australian Prime Minister announced a series of measures to encourage greater personal philanthropy. The centrepiece of these incentives was a new form of philanthropy, the Prescribed Private Fund (PPF), modelled on the American family foundation. These foundations grew quickly and almost a decade later, the government determined it would examine their practice. In 2008 a Discussion Paper was released a Discussion Paper and called for submissions.

This paper is the first attempt to identify and analyse the voice of modern Australian philanthropists and explore their views regarding public accountability of philanthropy. The major primary source is the 114 submissions received by The Treasury, in response to its request. These were from individuals and families who had established PPFs and other stakeholders interested in this new form of philanthropy. The other main source is the annual Federal Budget papers for 2001-2009. Therefore both qualitative and quantitative methodologies will be utilised in this analysis. This case study also examines the pertinence of Antonio Gramsci's theory of hegemony and asks if it has any relevance for the practice of modern Australian Philanthropy.

Most important references:

Cham, E., (2016) 'Trustee Companies: Their Role in Australian Philanthropy, PhD, Business School, University of Technology Sydney.

Treasury (2008) The Integrity of Prescribed Private Funds, Discussion Paper, Canberra.

Leat, D., (2004) 'What do Australian Foundations Do - Who knows and Who Cares?', Australian Journal of Public Administration, vol.63, no.2, pp.95-105.

Heydemann, S., & Toepler, S., (2006) 'Foundations and the Challenge of Legitimacy in Comparative Perspective', in Kenneth Prewitt, [et al] editors, The Legitimacy of Philanthropic Foundations: United States and European Perspectives, Russell Sage Foundation, New York.

Prewitt, K., (2006) 'American Foundations: What Justifies their Unique Privileges and Powers', in Kenneth Prewitt [et al] editors, The Legitimacy of Philanthropic Foundations, Russell Sage Foundation, New York.