

## Abstract for review

European Research Network On Philanthropy  
8th International Conference Copenhagen, July 13-14, 2017

---

Click [here](#) for the abstract evaluation form.

### Why do people bequeath philanthropic goals

*An empirical study on determinants for bequeathing*

Reference:	48398201
Number of authors:	3
Author/submitted by:	Theo Schuyt (prof.dr)
Organisation:	Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, FSW, The Netherlands
Authors:	Theo Schuyt - Elly Mariani - Suzanne Felix -
Keywords:	bequest giving, intergenerational transfers
Topic:	Bequests and intergenerational transfers
Theme of abstract:	
Research method:	Quantitative
Geographical focus:	Multiple countries (Other countries)
Type of article:	Research article

#### Abstract:

The scientific research on inheritances is scarce and almost exclusively from the US, UK and Australia. Based on data from 1992 Chang, Okunade and Kumar made a profile of potential testators in the US. Pamala Wiepking conducted in collaboration with researchers from the Queensland University of Technology in Brisbane comparable research in Australia (Wiepking, Madden & McDonald, 2010; Wiepking, Scaife & McDonald, 2012). Stroïng conducted research among wealthy in Germany, including legacies. Till now, the only research in the Netherlands has been conducted by Sickel and Shoemakers (2012); commissioned by the Dutch Cancer Society. A number of determinants and factors are mentioned in research and literature on bequeathing to play role in the decisions on whether or not to benefit a good cause. Among them: the family situation (children, spouse), philanthropic involvement during life, to be asked; financial security, the origin of wealth, altruism, social norms and religion, confidence in the impact and fiscal regulations.

In the Giving in the Netherlands 2017 study special attention has been paid to bequeathing by further examination of the determinants already mentioned and by adding new ones. The GIN 2017 panel study includes a survey of individuals and HNW's. The sample (for individuals

representative; for wealthy at random) counts a total  $n = 2417$ . Of this group, nearly 45% has a will ( $n = 1047$ ), of whom 14% ( $n = 150$ ) favors a good cause in the will.

The paper provides an overview of this research and specifically deals with the similarities and differences with results of earlier studies.

### **Most important references:**

Chang, C.F., Okunade, A.A. & Kumar, N. (1999). Motives Behind Charitable Bequests. *Journal of Nonprofit & Public Sector Marketing*, 6(4): 60-85.

R.N. James (2015). Phrasing the Charitable Bequest Inquiry . *Voluntas*

Sargeant, A. & Shang, J. (2011). Bequest giving. Revisiting donor motivation with dimensional qualitative research. *Psychology & Marketing*, 28(10), 980-997.

Sikkel, D. & Schoenmakers, E. (2012). Bequests to health-related charitable organisations. A structural model. *International Journal of Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Marketing*, 17(3), 183-197.

Ströing (2014). Reichtum und gesellschaftliches engagement in Deutschland. *Dissertation Sociologie Potsdam*.

Wiepking, P., Madden, K. & McDonald, K. (2010). Leaving a legacy. Bequest giving in Australia. *Australian Marketing Journal*, 18, 15-22.

Wiepking, P., Scaife, W. & McDonald, K. (2012). Motives and barriers to bequest giving. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 11, 56-66