

ABSTRACT

European Research Network On Philanthropy 8th International Conference Copenhagen, July 13-14, 2017

How you do or whom you know?: Examining the influence of board networks on the grant making of public foundations

Submitted by: Laurie Paarlberg

Organisation: Texas A & M University, , US

Author(s): Laurie Paarlberg Jasmine McGinnis Bryce Hannibal

Keywords: grant making, foundations, networks, diversity

Topic: Private and public foundations

Research method: Quantitative

Geographical focus: Single country (Other countries)

Type of article: Research article

Abstract:

Private foundations have often been accused of being elitist and reliant upon an economic system that perpetuates income inequalities, inequalities that often follow racial lines (O Connor, 2010). A growing body of research documents that larger, better-established organizations are favored in the private grant making process, as status helps to reduce the information asymmetries inherent in the ambiguous grants process (Galaskiewicz, 1985; 1997; Galaskiewicz & Wasserman, 1989; Grønbjerg, Martell & Paarlberg, 2000; Paarlberg & Moulick, forthcoming). However, we could find no empirical research that explicitly tests the relationships between race and foundation grant making. As countries across the globe become increasingly diverse, understanding the relationship between race and diversity has important implications for the redistribution of foundation assets and equity in the delivery of public services. Drawing upon concepts of relational status in interorganizational relationships (Podolny 2001), we test the effects that relational and racial status of board interlocks have on grant making.

Our data for this project comes from the Internal Revenue Service 990 reports of nine community foundations and their grant recipients in two American cities. Preliminary analysis suggests that larger organizations benefit more from high status boards than smaller organizations; suggesting that status provides cumulative advantage for well-established nonprofit organizations. Because race is another form of social status, we posit that relational status will provide less value to minority led organizations, in essence providing cumulative advantage for majority serving organizations. This research has important implications for policy that increasingly relies upon



private grant makers to support public needs, raising important questions about access and equity. Our research also extends existing models of grant making by testing the role of relational status in the grants process.

Most important references:

DiPrete TA, Eirich GM. 2006. Cumulative advantage as a mechanism for inequality: a review of theoretical and empirical developments. Annu. Rev. Sociol. 32:271 97 Galaskiewicz, J., and S. Wasserman.

1989 Mimetic processes within an interorganizational field: An empirical test. Administrative Science Quarterly, 454-479

Faulk, L., J, Willems, J. McGinnis Johnson, and A.J. Stewart.

Network Connections and Competitively Awarded Funding: The impacts of board network structures and status interlocks on nonprofit organizations foundation grant acquisition. Public Management Review, 18(10): 1425-1455.

Grønbjerg, K.A., Martell, L., & Paarlberg, L.

2000 Philanthropic funding of human services: Solving ambiguity through the two-stage competitive process. Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly, 29(suppl 1): 9-40.

Podolny, J. M. (2001). Networks as the Pipes and Prisms of the Market1. American journal of sociology, 107(1), 33-60.