ERNOP Research Note

Academic articles on philanthropy through a practitioner lens



Six essential dimensions for impact investing

Kai Hockerts – Copenhagen Business School | Lisa Hehenberger – Esade | Stefan Schaltegger – Leuphana University Lüneburg | Vanina Farber – IMD

Impact investing aims to generate social and environmental impact alongside financial returns. However, the exact definition and the concept itself is not always clear which the use and power of impact investing.

In this article, the authors provide a way to understand the concept of impact investing and introduce critical questions to facilitate discussions regarding whether a case can be described as impact investing.

The article presents an approach to understanding impact investing via six dimensions, examining its implementation and raising key questions. The six dimensions are: intentionality, additionality, contribution, materiality, measurability and attribution. Practitioners can use these dimensions describe different sub-clusters of how the term 'impact investing' is used in research and practice.

#ImpactInvesting #BusinessEthics #Impact #Foundations

Background

ጺ

Context



- The term impact investing was first used in 2007, during a Rockerfeller Foundation meeting. They proposed that a focus on impact investing can help attract new sources of capital to support the charitable sector and government to face environmental and social challenges.
- Impact investing includes four key actors. (1) Investors are the original source of the invested capital. (2) Fund managers manage the funds and the portfolio. (3) Investees can be assets, the portfolio companies or projects. (4) Beneficiaries are target populations that impact investing aims to help.
- Impact investing refers to investing with the intention to generate positive, measurable social and environmental impact alongside a financial return. It provides financial and non-financial resources to enterprises and investment funds. Aiming to generate measurable social and/or environmental impact alongside a financial return.
- The impact (i.e. the outcome) of an investment is a social and/or environmental change targeted at the beneficiaries.
- Despite the academic attention, a clear definition of the concept of impact investing is absent. The authors advance the literature by proposing a way to describe the concept, focussing on how the term is used in research and practice.











RNOP Research Note

Academic articles on philanthropy through a practitioner lens

Take aways Learnings



- To improve our understanding of the concept of impact investing, the authors suggest describing impact investing via six dimensions:
- 1. Intentionality refers to the intentional expectation of social or environmental impact alongside a financial return. For instance, if a company created a social impact as an unintended side effect, it should not be considered impact investing.
- 2. Additionality refers to if the impact would have also happened without intervention. For instance, if a company can create an **opportunity that others cannot**, then it counts as impact investing.
- 3. Contribution refers to signalling that impact matters (e.g. integrating impact in decision-making) and engages actively. Communicating that impact matters can push investees to measure and manage the impact and report their impact contribution.
- 4. Materiality visualizes a company's sustainability engagements (e.g. direct or indirect effects on environmental or social goals) rather than narrowly focusing on the financial considerations of shareholders. It is expected to encourage discussions on what is considered relevant impact information.
- 5. Measurability focuses on documenting the outcomes, which requires a well defined impact goal.
- 6. Attribution refers to the person/organization key for the change (e.g. how much of the outcome can be contributed to someone).
- Practitioners can use the six dimensions to identify if a particular case base be described as 'impact investing'. The questions in Figure 1 can be used to guide discussions about impact investing.

Figure 1. Key questions while considering the boundaries of impact investing.

What are ethical implications of idealist, arbitrage, or realist investment intentions?

What does additionality mean for portfolio company, fund manager, and investor?

What is the impact of the investor signalling?

How does the provision of material information influence investor decisionmaking and does it affect impact performance? How do impact investors know there is impact?

To whom and at what fraction can the impact created by a portfolio company be ascribed?

ERNOP Research Notes provide easy-to-read, practice-oriented summaries of academic articles on philanthropy and are written by practitioner experts. This ERNOP Research Note 2023/16 is published in June 2023 and has been provided to you by Bob Galesloot from BGEZ. More information can be found at www.ernop.eu.









